

**Score Sheets from the 2010 N. Carolina Region IV Science Fair  
February 20, 2010**

<b>Proj. No.</b>	<b>Category (max score)</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Comments</b>
EE057	Creative Ability (30)	24	Good reasons for conducting project. Independent idea.
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	Very strong use of scientific process
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Interpret results... how could this be applied to the real world?
	Skill (10)	7	
	Clarity (10)	7	
	Interview (10)	7	Confident speaker suggestion: understood results and graphs better
	82		
EE056	Creative Ability (30)	12	Suggestions: expand this to an original idea
	Scientific Thought (30)	18	Poster clearly shows scientific process, strong demonstration
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Record data on more than 1 trial
	Skill (10)	9	
	Clarity (10)	10	Clear, concise and well presented
	Interview (10)	10	Confident speaker
	67		
EE054	Creative Ability (30)	24	Good project! Good understanding
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Make note of how many times you did each experiment for how long... Put axis labels on graph. Add more data/ results to board? More descriptive procedures.
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)	5	
	84		
EE053	Creative Ability (30)	28	Creative way to test hypothesis
	Scientific Thought (30)	28	Needs more than one leaf per test
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)	9	Adequately explained why the different colors should affect the leaf color. Confident presenter; strong understanding of how project was done.
91			
EE052	Creative Ability (30)	20	Understood how this project could help farmers and gardeners
	Scientific Thought (30)	20	Poster demonstrates clear understanding of scientific process. Suggestions: make duplicate measurements. Make graphs or charts.
	Thoroughness (10)	7	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	10	Poster very clear, but during interview felt that he did not fully understand the project.

	Interview (10)	8	Nervous	
		73		
EE051	Creative Ability (30)	24	Great project! Great interest in practical applications!	
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	Use a different control Ex: more kids/ adults on same level.	
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Explain the drums/ guitar process in how you score a certain percentage.	
	Skill (10)	8		
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)	5	Not an original project- borrowed from internet	
		84		
EE049	Creative Ability (30)	25		
	Scientific Thought (30)	26	Needs to be more receptive in willingness to answer questions scientific note book	
	Thoroughness (10)	8		
	Skill (10)	8		
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)	10	Articulate about project. Consider the broader scope. Great ideas for practical application.	
		87		
EE048	Creative Ability (30)	23	Interpretation of results is good but where did data come from??	
	Scientific Thought (30)	13	statement of problems ambiguous. Where is your procedure?? No citations?	
	Thoroughness (10)	3	experimental procedure? Notebook? Time spent on project??	
	Skill (10)	7	Phases of Project?	
	Clarity (10)	8		
	Interview (10)	10	Great Project. Put more info/ procedural steps on board.	
		64		
EE045	Creative Ability (30)	25	Why did you choose this project?	
	Scientific Thought (30)	23	You mentioned websites, which were used?	
	Thoroughness (10)	9	Pages missing from lab notebook	
	Skill (10)	8	Where are your citations and sources?	
	Clarity (10)	9	Good interview!	
	Interview (10)	7		
		81		
JB12	Creative Ability (30)	25	Not unheard of, But liked the added data lyrical/instrumental; gender, age	
	Scientific Thought (30)	30		
	Thoroughness (10)	9		
	Skill (10)	9		
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)	10		
		93		
EE036	Creative Ability (30)	20		
	Scientific Thought (30)	20	Knew material	

	Thoroughness (10)	8		t
	Skill (10)	10		
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)			
		62		
EE040	Creative Ability (30)	20		
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	Connected to Ecology	
	Thoroughness (10)	10		
	Skill (10)	7		
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)			
EE027	Creative Ability (30)	20	Really Creative!	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25		
	Thoroughness (10)	7		
	Skill (10)	9		
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)			
EE17	Creative Ability (30)	27	Emphasize significance of research	
	Scientific Thought (30)	30		
	Thoroughness (10)	9	More plants in the study. Determine what is	
	Skill (10)	9	in tobacco that is causing the effect	
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)	10		
		95		
JB04	Creative Ability (30)	30		
	Scientific Thought (30)	30	Data presentation: Graph lack of correlation	
	Thoroughness (10)	10	btw limestone loss. Ph could be due to	
	Skill (10)	8	phosphoric Acid	
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)	10		
		98		
EE75	Creative Ability (30)	28		
	Scientific Thought (30)	28	What about specialization of language	
	Thoroughness (10)	10		
	Skill (10)	10		
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)			
EE042	Creative Ability (30)	20		
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	Extended hypothesis great	
	Thoroughness (10)	7		
	Skill (10)	7		
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)			
EE032	Creative Ability (30)	27		
	Scientific Thought (30)	27		
	Thoroughness (10)	9		
	Skill (10)	10		

	Clarity (10)	7	
	Interview (10)	10	Knew what she's talking about! Very Good!
EE028	Creative Ability (30)	21	
	Scientific Thought (30)	21	Great thinking!
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	10	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)		
EE076	Creative Ability (30)	30	Very interesting experiment
	Scientific Thought (30)	9	
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	10	
	Clarity (10)	9	
	Interview (10)		
EE075	Creative Ability (30)	25	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	You mention other senses, How do they interact
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	9	
	Clarity (10)	9	
	Interview (10)		
EE081	Creative Ability (30)	28	
	Scientific Thought (30)	26	Are there other variables that could influence your Data?
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	9	
	Interview (10)		
EE069	Creative Ability (30)	30	Cool Idea!
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	Gravity does not change!
	Thoroughness (10)	7	Repeated?
	Skill (10)	10	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)		
EE071	Creative Ability (30)	25	This is a science Olympia event too! Nicely done
	Scientific Thought (30)	30	Lots of questions addressed! I want to try this at home. Very thorough
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	10	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)		
EE082	Creative Ability (30)	30	Very Interesting topic
	Scientific Thought (30)	28	
	Thoroughness (10)	9	

	Skill (10)	9	
	Clarity (10)	9	
	Interview (10)		
EE026	Creative Ability (30)	27	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	Applied it to a different concept
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	10	
	Clarity (10)	10	Very clear. Able to understand and learn through project
	Interview (10)		
EE095	Creative Ability (30)	27	Really creative! Never seen before. Took a lot of time on project
	Scientific Thought (30)	21	
	Thoroughness (10)	10	Spent a lot of time on project
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)		
EE43	Creative Ability (30)	30	Extremely creative
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	7	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)		
EE059	Creative Ability (30)	24	
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	
	Thoroughness (10)	9	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	10	Very attractive
	Interview (10)	6	Needs a greater understanding of relationship between heart rate and body size.
		86	
EE067	Creative Ability (30)	20	Science Olympia Project?
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	Good data collection analysis
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	9	
	Interview (10)		
EE076	Creative Ability (30)		Very original idea I've appreciate his enthusiasm
	Scientific Thought (30)		
	Thoroughness (10)		
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE075	Creative Ability (30)		Very enthusiastic Current research idea

	Scientific Thought (30)			
	Thoroughness (10)			
	Skill (10)			
	Clarity (10)			
	Interview (10)			
EE074	Creative Ability (30)			
	Scientific Thought (30)			
	Thoroughness (10)			
	Skill (10)			
	Clarity (10)		The model clarified very well the project	
	Interview (10)		Very well presented	
EE084	Creative Ability (30)	27		
	Scientific Thought (30)	23		
	Thoroughness (10)	9		
	Skill (10)	10		
	Clarity (10)	9		
	Interview (10)	10	Very interesting. Good job answering question and explaining	
EE085	Creative Ability (30)	29		
	Scientific Thought (30)	27		
	Thoroughness (10)	9		
	Skill (10)	10		
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)	7	Make sure you know your information. The project is good but you need to know your stuff	
EE108	Creative Ability (30)	27		
	Scientific Thought (30)	28		
	Thoroughness (10)	9		
	Skill (10)	9		
	Clarity (10)	9		
	Interview (10)	10	Good job! Clear information. Admitted he didn't know which was good	
EE109	Creative Ability (30)	28		
	Scientific Thought (30)	27		
	Thoroughness (10)	10		
	Skill (10)	10		
	Clarity (10)	9		
	Interview (10)	9	You know your stuff so be confident. Good job on your project though	
EE110	Creative Ability (30)	28		
	Scientific Thought (30)	24		
	Thoroughness (10)	8		
	Skill (10)	10		
	Clarity (10)	10		

	Interview (10)	8	Try to talk a little louder and make eye contact. Confidence is key.
EE080	Creative Ability (30)	29	
	Scientific Thought (30)	28	
	Thoroughness (10)	9	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	9	
	Interview (10)	10	Good job explaining your topic. Very strong interview.
EE081	Creative Ability (30)	28	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	
	Thoroughness (10)	9	
	Skill (10)	10	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)	10	Very impressive knowledge of physics. Good real world applications and explanations
EE082	Creative Ability (30)	26	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	9	
	Clarity (10)	9	
	Interview (10)	10	Good job knowing your stuff. Keep using big words.
EE109	Creative Ability (30)	25	
	Scientific Thought (30)	26	
	Thoroughness (10)	10	Execution of different materials processed well
	Skill (10)	9	
	Clarity (10)	10	Procedure explained clearly
	Interview (10)		
EE069	Creative Ability (30)	27	
	Scientific Thought (30)	30	Explanations and procedures explained concurrently with board
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	10	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)		
EE080	Creative Ability (30)	30	
	Scientific Thought (30)	30	
	Thoroughness (10)	10	Excellent Analysis
	Skill (10)	10	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)		
EE066	Creative Ability (30)	20	

	Scientific Thought (30)	27	Hypothesis explained well
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	9	
	Interview (10)		
EE108	Creative Ability (30)	20	
	Scientific Thought (30)	24	Explanation of analysis thought out very well
	Thoroughness (10)	9	
	Skill (10)	9	
	Clarity (10)	9	
	Interview (10)		
EE102	Creative Ability (30)	24	
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)	5	Could not articulate the project
EE110	Creative Ability (30)	25	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	Why do you think the volume changed?
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	8	
	Interview (10)		
EE108	Creative Ability (30)	20	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	Good data presentation pictures documented project well
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	8	
	Interview (10)		
EE107	Creative Ability (30)	25	
	Scientific Thought (30)	20	Explain what you think ideal conduction for mold are?
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	8	
	Interview (10)		
EE080	Creative Ability (30)	25	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	Has anyone proposed an explanation? Can you think of one?
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	9	
	Interview (10)		

EE079	Creative Ability (30)	28	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	Rejecting your hypothesis is a good thing sometimes! Other variables besides but weight?
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)		
EE078	Creative Ability (30)	25	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Repeat experiment more times
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	8	
	Interview (10)		
EE072	Creative Ability (30)	28	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	I did not understand conclusion.
	Thoroughness (10)	7	
	Skill (10)	7	
	Clarity (10)	6	
	Interview (10)		
EE066	Creative Ability (30)	30	Simple, direct project
	Scientific Thought (30)	35	More on what mold likes to thrive
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Great start, look at variables in more detail
	Skill (10)	10	
	Clarity (10)	8	
	Interview (10)		
		91	
EE079	Creative Ability (30)		Good question
	Scientific Thought (30)		
	Thoroughness (10)		Only 2 bats but multiple feet, same person hitting each time
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE109	Creative Ability (30)		
	Scientific Thought (30)		hazy difference between deed ball and good
	Thoroughness (10)		several different types
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE108	Creative Ability (30)		kind of well documented performed in school
	Scientific Thought (30)		Very clear purpose
	Thoroughness (10)		
	Skill (10)		Very little skill needed
	Clarity (10)		Which plant was which
	Interview (10)		

EE110	Creative Ability (30)		Very creative
	Scientific Thought (30)		good question
	Thoroughness (10)		10 trials
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		Very clear except difference in flattening
	Interview (10)		
EE086	Creative Ability (30)		
	Scientific Thought (30)		
	Thoroughness (10)		3 different tries
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		levels of ice?
	Interview (10)		
EE107	Creative Ability (30)		Seen several times before
	Scientific Thought (30)		Very well thought out
	Thoroughness (10)		tried several different things
	Skill (10)		just take time
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE093	Creative Ability (30)	20	Good idea
	Scientific Thought (30)	23	
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	10	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)		
		64	
EE091	Creative Ability (30)		Very broad, possibly narrow it down
	Scientific Thought (30)		Very clear
	Thoroughness (10)		several different ways
	Skill (10)		advance
	Clarity (10)		clear conclusion
	Interview (10)		
EE067	Creative Ability (30)		Create catapult
	Scientific Thought (30)		advanced for age
	Thoroughness (10)		account for environmental factors
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE106	Creative Ability (30)		Interesting project. Something I did as a kid.
	Scientific Thought (30)		Something age appropriate
	Thoroughness (10)		multiple runs per section
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		Very clear
	Interview (10)		

EE081	Creative Ability (30)		Creative with actual implications to child's interest
	Scientific Thought (30)		Good procedure
	Thoroughness (10)		Very thorough with different distance and different
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE066	Creative Ability (30)		Not very creative
	Scientific Thought (30)		
	Thoroughness (10)		3 different environments
	Skill (10)		clear skill level
	Clarity (10)		good conclusion
	Interview (10)		
EE069	Creative Ability (30)		Good question
	Scientific Thought (30)		Not a real hypothesis needs to be done before research
	Thoroughness (10)		3 different samples
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE070	Creative Ability (30)		Very creative
	Scientific Thought (30)		Very advance thought for 5th grader
	Thoroughness (10)		Very thorough I liked
	Skill (10)		Advanced very good skill
	Clarity (10)		Very clear
	Interview (10)		
EE084	Creative Ability (30)		Creative
	Scientific Thought (30)		Very well thought
	Thoroughness (10)		
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE085	Creative Ability (30)		Good thought
	Scientific Thought (30)		
	Thoroughness (10)		Multiple trials
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE074	Creative Ability (30)		Good thoughts
	Scientific Thought (30)		What is attempted to prove procedure
	Thoroughness (10)		Doesn't cover why power drops
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		

EE075	Creative Ability (30)		Interesting question
	Scientific Thought (30)		Clear purpose and procedure
	Thoroughness (10)		Lots of tests
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE076	Creative Ability (30)		Interesting question
	Scientific Thought (30)		Where did formula come from
	Thoroughness (10)		What if no crickets out
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE078	Creative Ability (30)		
	Scientific Thought (30)		Good set up
	Thoroughness (10)		
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE082	Creative Ability (30)		Very intersting
	Scientific Thought (30)		Very well thought but no procedure
	Thoroughness (10)		
	Skill (10)		Advance thought
	Clarity (10)		Clear
	Interview (10)		
EE073	Creative Ability (30)		Very good question
	Scientific Thought (30)		Very clear
	Thoroughness (10)		Covered multiple spurs
	Skill (10)		Advanced
	Clarity (10)		Clear in steps
	Interview (10)		
EE069	Creative Ability (30)		Very good work. Very good oral presentation
	Scientific Thought (30)		
	Thoroughness (10)		
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE066	Creative Ability (30)		I am impressed by the motivation and the desire to do work.
	Scientific Thought (30)		
	Thoroughness (10)		
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		

JE06	Creative Ability (30)	27	Project shows ability to find a reasonable question & range of independent variables to test.
	Scientific Thought (30)	28	Multiple height measurements, this is very good to understand variability.
	Thoroughness (10)	9	Could reflect on why store fertilizer plants didn't grow at all.
	Skill (10)	7	
	Clarity (10)	7	
	Interview (10)	8	
JB14	Creative Ability (30)	24	Replace Research with Introduction
	Scientific Thought (30)	24	Research the causes for the blue eyes as having as good peripheral vision
	Thoroughness (10)	9	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	8	
	Interview (10)	7	
JB03	Creative Ability (30)	12	
	Scientific Thought (30)	13	
	Thoroughness (10)	4	Used only 7th graders.
	Skill (10)	4	
	Clarity (10)	4	
	Interview (10)	10	
JB05	Creative Ability (30)	30	
	Scientific Thought (30)	23	Recommmed doing more research into animal behavior and bilateral pre.
	Thoroughness (10)	9	
	Skill (10)	9	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)	8	Need to work on presentation flow of research.
JB06	Creative Ability (30)	10	More psychological not natural science
	Scientific Thought (30)	9	
	Thoroughness (10)	6	
	Skill (10)	6	
	Clarity (10)	7	
	Interview (10)	8	
JB15	Creative Ability (30)	11	Topic belongs to behavioral rather than Natural Science.
	Scientific Thought (30)	11	
	Thoroughness (10)	4	
	Skill (10)	4	
	Clarity (10)	7	
	Interview (10)	5	Show more enthusiasm

JP16	Creative Ability (30)	20	There is more here than you recognize. Not very original.
	Scientific Thought (30)	26	Well designed imprecise endpoint. Weight of bridges are diff. mass. Check sticks for flaws.
	Thoroughness (10)	10	How was weight suspended? More is here to explain failures.
	Skill (10)	8	Good
	Clarity (10)	8	
	Interview (10)	8	Felt a little scripted. Confused with math relations.
JP13	Creative Ability (30)	25	Good justification hypothesis
	Scientific Thought (30)	22	Gave good explanation for why hypothesis was disconfirmed
	Thoroughness (10)	10	Realistic experiment replication
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)	10	Articulate, respectful, confident
JP05	Creative Ability (30)		Need to consider different ways to approach a classical pattern.
	Scientific Thought (30)		What is the dependant variable? Circumference?
	Thoroughness (10)		
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
JP12	Creative Ability (30)		Important question
	Scientific Thought (30)		Precise technique-folding, thickness, ambient temp.
	Thoroughness (10)		Graph messy Replication
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
JP09	Creative Ability (30)	24	Did melting rate also vary with side surface areas. What do you understand area to be?
	Scientific Thought (30)	20	Controlled variables (room temp) not stated
	Thoroughness (10)	6	
	Skill (10)	5	
	Clarity (10)	4	
	Interview (10)	6	
JP07	Creative Ability (30)		Not clear about what variables are being tested.
	Scientific Thought (30)		Where is the mass?
	Thoroughness (10)		
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		

JP11	Creative Ability (30)	28	
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	
	Thoroughness (10)	6	Replication, summary stats, graphs reflect variability
	Skill (10)	8	Very ambitious project
	Clarity (10)	6	Graphs show fin shapes nicely
	Interview (10)	7	A little nervous, attributed drag to weight not flopping. Motivated
SE05	Creative Ability (30)	27	Good idea
	Scientific Thought (30)	27	Project is good & includes a very important topic, as indicated by score. We think she should re-evaluate sampling strategy & data.
	Thoroughness (10)	9	Multiple days of sampling & adding down stream data would contribute greatly.
	Skill (10)	9	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)	10	Very confident. Good presentation
JE14	Creative Ability (30)	24	The hypothesis to be tested could be clearer.
	Scientific Thought (30)	26	Would help to know water fountains used rather than faucets at school, store, etc.
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Wide range of sampling sites is good
	Skill (10)	6	
	Clarity (10)	8	Graphs shown well, need to explain Good vs. Average water quality.
	Interview (10)	8	Would help if all nitrate and pH levels were plotted with the same y-axis for ease of comparison.
JE08	Creative Ability (30)	28	An interesting, practical problem to investigate.
	Scientific Thought (30)	24	Could different fields(with different soil) yield different results? Hard to say...
	Thoroughness (10)	4	How would moisture change over a longer time or different weather?
	Skill (10)	5	
	Clarity (10)	6	Some spelling errors. Can explain how moisture measured more clearly.
	Interview (10)	8	Also the beginning of time on the graph wasn't clear.
JE02	Creative Ability (30)	26	Interesting project, but not clear why student thought pH would vary as it does.
	Scientific Thought (30)	27	Good geographic variation of samples.
	Thoroughness (10)	7	Multiple growth measurements would be better.
	Skill (10)	7	

	Clarity (10)	7	Would be better to label units of growth & turbidity.
	Interview (10)	10	Understood & could explain project well
EE021	Creative Ability (30)	23	Great project idea, Tell why it is important.
	Scientific Thought (30)	21	Any scientific literature to cite? Maybe compare the results with actual exercise, address dependent/independent variables.
	Thoroughness (10)	7	Project notebook, Precise graphs! Awesome!
	Skill (10)	5	Great individual work & effort!
	Clarity (10)	7	Make sure to put scientific steps in correct order on poster.
	Interview (10)	8	Great real world appreciation. Great honesty.
EE088	Creative Ability (30)	23	Data displayed well, scientific method followed.
	Scientific Thought (30)	22	
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	5	
	Clarity (10)	7	Graphs enhance clarity
	Interview (10)	6	
EE015	Creative Ability (30)	23	Interpretation of data clear. Clarity/organization in a good manner.
	Scientific Thought (30)	23	Clearly followed scientific method
	Thoroughness (10)	7	Very Thorough
	Skill (10)	7	
	Clarity (10)	7	Well organized
	Interview (10)	4	
E019	Creative Ability (30)	20	A very interesting project topic. Its nice to see a project related to outer space.
	Scientific Thought (30)	22	Mentioned in interview about speed affecting size, maybe put that in conclusion.
	Thoroughness (10)	6	Could label your graph more clearly( instead of a scale, actually label the x and y axis).
	Skill (10)	5	
	Clarity (10)	6	Clearly understood results.
	Interview (10)	5	
E006	Creative Ability (30)	20	Presentation in very creative, original, and eye-catching.
	Scientific Thought (30)	21	Elaborate on conclusion. Could talk about why you think the peppermint attracted more ants, and why this information is useful.
	Thoroughness (10)	9	Could add a section listing the materials used on the poster board.

	Skill (10)	6	
	Clarity (10)	7	Data and purpose are very clearly presented.
	Interview (10)	7	Very comfortable and knowledgeable about project.
EE009	Creative Ability (30)	23	Good educational content for other students!
	Scientific Thought (30)	19	More public health/social science project since it studies behavior.
	Thoroughness (10)	6	Nice variety of student weights
	Skill (10)	5	
	Clarity (10)	6	Conclusion oversimplifies issues; some students are carrying too much weight.
	Interview (10)	7	Your knowledge of spinal anatomy is impressive!
EE004	Creative Ability (30)	24	Nicely displayed. Pictures help illustrate the process.
	Scientific Thought (30)	20	What about water? Not all of liquid (or weight lost) was due to grease as you can see from the turkey bacon.
	Thoroughness (10)	6	What about other brands of "lowfat" bacon?
	Skill (10)	5	
	Clarity (10)	6	Table is very easy to interpret. Bar graph could be clearer.
	Interview (10)	6	Explained process well. Good luck on your healthy eating plan.
EE087	Creative Ability (30)	20	Good use of pictures to show process
	Scientific Thought (30)	20	Multiple trials on each brand control for variability between bags, Graphs are nicely presented. Good job!
	Thoroughness (10)	5	
	Skill (10)	5	
	Clarity (10)	6	Graphical presentation is very easy to understand!
	Interview (10)	9	Very clear and thorough. You understood your project well!
EE010	Creative Ability (30)	27	Great thinking for purpose! Very Creative!!
	Scientific Thought (30)	24	Make sure to address the "problem". Label procedure in steps. Could the bait rotation have affected your results?
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Good job at addressing bias & potential alternating factors! Next time test for longer periods of time or different seasons.
	Skill (10)	6	Good scientific procedure on poster.
	Clarity (10)	6	Project Notebook?
	Interview (10)	7	She suggested ways to improve & understood controlled variables! Didn't understand reason why she did project?

EE002	Creative Ability (30)	26	This idea is original. Showing a strong interest and curiosity toward plants.
	Scientific Thought (30)	25	Experiment is well done, conclusion is supported by results.
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Student may want to conduct the experiment longer until seeds are produced, or measure other criteria other than just height of plants. Exp. Fresh weight
	Skill (10)	7	
	Clarity (10)	9	Well presented
	Interview (10)	9	Data supports the conclusion to the interview showed. Student designed the experiment independently, the presentation is clear and convincing!
EE014	Creative Ability (30)	27	It is a nice project. The idea is genius.
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	Experiment is well done,
	Thoroughness (10)	8	The question was well addressed.
	Skill (10)	10	Very well presented
	Clarity (10)	9	Student understood the material and methods. The data is convincing.
	Interview (10)	9	Interview showed students totally understood the study. Presentation is clear.
E086	Creative Ability (30)	23	Very creative, interesting, and cute idea. I love the way that the project was presented.
	Scientific Thought (30)	19	The goal of the project is not necessarily scientific, it should answer a scientific question/problem.
	Thoroughness (10)	9	
	Skill (10)	7	
	Clarity (10)	7	
	Interview (10)	7	
JP04	Creative Ability (30)		
	Scientific Thought (30)		How did you measure times?
	Thoroughness (10)		
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
JP1	Creative Ability (30)		Good
	Scientific Thought (30)		Very well done
	Thoroughness (10)		Nice folder
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		Seem to really know the material

JP14	Creative Ability (30)		Not bad
	Scientific Thought (30)		H is not really a question.
	Thoroughness (10)		not data driven, hard to believe each measure
	Skill (10)		Need to be careful
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		Very young, understood
JP02	Creative Ability (30)		Average
	Scientific Thought (30)		Above average data
	Thoroughness (10)		Very nice report
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		Grammer issues
	Interview (10)		Some data from parents background.
JP13	Creative Ability (30)		Nice
	Scientific Thought (30)		Good variables and surface area
	Thoroughness (10)		Well controlled, Average log
	Skill (10)		Low but good
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		Good job. Seems to have a good understanding of problem
JP15	Creative Ability (30)		Not bad statistical significance Interesting question
	Scientific Thought (30)		Reports good. Are water and soda fuel really different? Replication good unclear justification of hypothesis
	Thoroughness (10)		Average log Precise end point
	Skill (10)		Medium
	Clarity (10)		Graph seems to connect irrelevant time sequence
	Interview (10)		Not bad results. More thought can be put in.
JP08	Creative Ability (30)		Not bad, well built Hypothesis is too self-evident.
	Scientific Thought (30)		Good method
	Thoroughness (10)		Written log is a bit short No materials on poster What is thrown object?
	Skill (10)		Nice looking mechansim
	Clarity (10)		Wording is poor Most pictures irrelevant should explain mechanism more
	Interview (10)		Did have fun building sample Felt a little scripted. Good for a junior.
JP05	Creative Ability (30)		Ok
	Scientific Thought (30)		Missing geometry, odd hypothesis
	Thoroughness (10)		Units- inches vs. feet. Report ok
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		Did learn from the project

JP07	Creative Ability (30)		good justification of hypothesis
	Scientific Thought (30)		Controlled variable is not a moter distance to pinwheel from fan issue. Missing details- Friction? Only 3 pinwheel designs brought only one design
	Thoroughness (10)		Nice report didn't measure variables (mass and pocket size) affecting measures
	Skill (10)		hard to see that wind would be strong enough
	Clarity (10)		" Indept equals pinwheels" Inconsistent between 2 and 3 arms
	Interview (10)		Explained how to find average motivated by green? Clear explanation
JP09	Creative Ability (30)		ok should have measured 5A before the expt.
	Scientific Thought (30)		Could ice melt faster if conduction occurs. State the variables. What is surface area? Top or all around shape doesn't reflect construction
	Thoroughness (10)		no replication
	Skill (10)		Are measurements ok? Times seem arbitrary
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		Learned hypothesis was backwards, did seem to get scientific method. No deeper understanding
JP12	Creative Ability (30)		Average, No science
	Scientific Thought (30)		Man made vs. synthetic.. control?
	Thoroughness (10)		No log book-Report
	Skill (10)		low
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		More interested in fashion-ok. Could include number of tries to get material to ignite.
JP04	Creative Ability (30)		Simple. Hypothesis issues
	Scientific Thought (30)		What about friction?
	Thoroughness (10)		Not enough
	Skill (10)		Low
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
JP06	Creative Ability (30)		Interesting
	Scientific Thought (30)		Lacks conclusive data
	Thoroughness (10)		No report
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		

JP01	Creative Ability (30)			
	Scientific Thought (30)			Unclear what can be counted the same
	Thoroughness (10)			No replications
	Skill (10)			Did student perform this?
	Clarity (10)			
	Interview (10)			
JP02	Creative Ability (30)			
	Scientific Thought (30)			
	Thoroughness (10)			
	Skill (10)			
	Clarity (10)			
	Interview (10)			No evidence of deeper understanding
JE01	Creative Ability (30)	10		Interesting project but in another category. Need a lot more quantifiable data.
	Scientific Thought (30)	15		How much salt is in billions? (and how does that affect preservation).
	Thoroughness (10)	7		What exactly was the measurable quantity of preservation?
	Skill (10)	6		
	Clarity (10)	7		
	Interview (10)	8		
JE03	Creative Ability (30)	25		Good idea, fits the correct category, but the data does not appear to be reproducible.
	Scientific Thought (30)	20		More filters? What was the rock size? What was the sand size?
	Thoroughness (10)	5		The data must be quantifiable and reproducible. Only one test was done.
	Skill (10)	6		
	Clarity (10)	6		Explained well, but need more data and description.
	Interview (10)	10		
JE04	Creative Ability (30)	20		good questions, but needs some detail & text to link it to Earth Science; right now this is a chemistry or biology project.
	Scientific Thought (30)	16		Only one plant was chosen
	Thoroughness (10)	6		See above. Additionally, only one variable was measured. Why was duckweed used?
	Skill (10)	8		Was never explained
	Clarity (10)	6		What results were gathered presented clearly, however, the rationale for duckweed was never explained.
	Interview (10)	9		
JE09	Creative Ability (30)	20		The research question is already known, but maybe some aspect can be analyzed.
	Scientific Thought (30)	21		

	Thoroughness (10)	7	Experiment should be repeated. Need to explain better how plant growth was measured.
	Skill (10)	6	
	Clarity (10)	4	
	Interview (10)	8	
JE10	Creative Ability (30)	20	Was the answer to the research question already known?
	Scientific Thought (30)	20	Since salt water killed all the plants in a short period, it is hard to make intermediate judgements. It would be better to use lower salinities too.
	Thoroughness (10)	5	
	Skill (10)	5	
	Clarity (10)	7	
	Interview (10)	8	
JE11	Creative Ability (30)	20	Seasonal variations in temperature? Standing water vs. ground/running water
	Scientific Thought (30)	21	Why link between pH and temperature. Bedrock/soil types
	Thoroughness (10)	5	Why only two samples?
	Skill (10)	6	Fine!
	Clarity (10)	5	Results not clearly presented.
	Interview (10)	8	
JE12	Creative Ability (30)	21	What are "differences" in water? How is this judged?
	Scientific Thought (30)	22	how is a hypothesis 75% correct?
	Thoroughness (10)	5	No repetition to verify results
	Skill (10)	4	How do you gauge accuracy of results?
	Clarity (10)	4	On what basis is water considered "clean" or "unclean"
	Interview (10)	8	
JE15	Creative Ability (30)	25	Highly creative but what about leakage of CO2 from cooler? Why only 1 hour of readings?
	Scientific Thought (30)	16	Makes blanket global conclusion based on 1 experiment with 3 trials. CO2 does indeed lead to global warming.
	Thoroughness (10)	5	Other factors are involved other than CO2, Temp links; seasonal variations -- vegetation
	Skill (10)	3	Accuracy questionable with design of experiment
	Clarity (10)	4	Draws conclusions based on only three trials
	Interview (10)	9	
SE04	Creative Ability (30)	27	Good idea.

	Scientific Thought (30)	28	Better establish reproducibility
	Thoroughness (10)	9	consider adding other light types for comparison
	Skill (10)	7	
	Clarity (10)	9	Better graph labels
	Interview (10)	10	Nice presentation
SE03	Creative Ability (30)	16	Interesting project, not originated by student
	Scientific Thought (30)	24	How does the nanotube work? How were the solar cells constructed?
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	5	
	Clarity (10)	8	Show the cells
	Interview (10)	10	Good presentation
SE02	Creative Ability (30)	19	Why not look at cost savings instead of efficiency of design?
	Scientific Thought (30)	19	How did you choose 30 degrees F? Control on rates of flow. Paint the copper pipes black.
	Thoroughness (10)	7	More runs at different outside temps.
	Skill (10)	5	
	Clarity (10)	8	Be more specific about the temperature relationships in ant out of the box and flow rates of water through the panes.
	Interview (10)	8	
ST02	Creative Ability (30)	17	
	Scientific Thought (30)	22	The study needs large sample population
	Thoroughness (10)	8	More background
	Skill (10)	6	
	Clarity (10)	6	
	Interview (10)	7	
ST 01	Creative Ability (30)	16	
	Scientific Thought (30)	20	Procedure unclear
	Thoroughness (10)	7	The angle of attack not addressed. No notebook
	Skill (10)	6	How was drag measured?
	Clarity (10)	6	
	Interview (10)	8	
SP01	Creative Ability (30)	16	Idea is worth exploring
	Scientific Thought (30)	15	Used magnets with very weak fields. Material reaction with soil could effect outcome. Control is not established, sample population is far too small!
	Thoroughness (10)	5	Doesn't demonstrate understanding of alternate approaches
	Skill (10)	6	
	Clarity (10)	8	

	Interview (10)	8	
JP02	Creative Ability (30)	25	Good scheme; poor hypothesis
	Scientific Thought (30)	15	a little weak
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	9	
	Interview (10)	4	
JP07	Creative Ability (30)	25	improper control variable
	Scientific Thought (30)	18	volts are not an amount of wind speed
	Thoroughness (10)	4	poorly controlled data collection
	Skill (10)	5	good idea weakly done.
	Clarity (10)	4	
	Interview (10)	6	
JT04	Creative Ability (30)	20	Hypothesis weak. Friction, an explanation belongs there.
	Scientific Thought (30)	15	
	Thoroughness (10)	6	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	6	
	Interview (10)	5	
	Teamwork	10	
JP08	Creative Ability (30)	20	Language problem. Title misleading
	Scientific Thought (30)	15	A result listed in conclusion. Graph poorly labeled
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	9	
	Clarity (10)	5	
	Interview (10)	6	
JP12	Creative Ability (30)	20	
	Scientific Thought (30)	20	No report or lab notebook. Was size of fabric controlled? What mass of fabric controlled?
	Thoroughness (10)	5	
	Skill (10)	4	Low
	Clarity (10)	6	
	Interview (10)	4	
JP08	Creative Ability (30)		
	Scientific Thought (30)		What was mass of object thrown?
	Thoroughness (10)		Materials?
	Skill (10)		
	Clarity (10)		
	Interview (10)		
EE070	Creative Ability (30)	28	Development of numerical browning scale a good idea to try to make more objective observations

	Scientific Thought (30)	28	Well documented	
	Thoroughness (10)	9	Why do you want to know? Did taste and appearance play a role in your project?	
	Skill (10)	10		
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)			
EE101	Creative Ability (30)	24	Good data. How can you interpret your results?	
	Scientific Thought (30)	29		
	Thoroughness (10)	8		
	Skill (10)	8		
	Clarity (10)	10	Understand your results.	
	Interview (10)	5	Make eye contact instead of playing with table. Inconsistencies with explanation.	
EE100	Creative Ability (30)	29	How is data related to temp! Time of day. Well done. Very original idea.	
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	Very impressive thought process. Clear outline of ideas	
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Data was from many sources	
	Skill (10)	8		
	Clarity (10)	9	Conclusions were well drawn from data	
	Interview (10)	10	Very knowledgeable about chickens and variables that may potentially affect egg laying. Confident in work. Understood ideas used	
EE073	Creative Ability (30)	20		
	Scientific Thought (30)	28	Are there things other than bacteria that would make surface water unable to drink?	
	Thoroughness (10)	7	Where did you learn about/obtain your media?	
	Skill (10)	7		
	Clarity (10)	10		
	Interview (10)			
EE103	Creative Ability (30)	24	Good reasons for doing project	
	Scientific Thought (30)	29		
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Include more info about popcorn	
	Skill (10)	8		
	Clarity (10)	10	Excellent board display	
	Interview (10)	5	Reticent. Provided little additional information	
EE104	Creative Ability (30)	24	Good experimental design	
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	Needs to demonstrate principles better	
	Thoroughness (10)	8		
	Skill (10)	7		
	Clarity (10)	7	need better understanding of project	
	Interview (10)	7		

EE105	Creative Ability (30)	24	Creative method to come up with project idea
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	10	The data supported the conclusion very well
	Interview (10)	8	Very well understood project. Concepts behind project well understood
EE074	Creative Ability (30)	15	No driving hypothesis. Data on usage was plotted. Could you ask which use you think would be highest?
	Scientific Thought (30)	15	No clear hypothesis. Good start on electrical usage but project should address a question
	Thoroughness (10)	5	
	Skill (10)	10	
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)		
EE058	Creative Ability (30)	24	Original thought. Researched how to do the project on the internet.
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	Very good demonstration of how to harness ocean energy. Suggestion: incorporate scientific method
	Thoroughness (10)	8	
	Skill (10)	8	Put more info on poster
	Clarity (10)	10	
	Interview (10)	5	Enthusiastic and confident. Expressed goals for the future and understanding of big picture applications
EE064	Creative Ability (30)	29	Good idea
	Scientific Thought (30)	30	Multiple variables were manipulated effectively.
	Thoroughness (10)	10	
	Skill (10)	9	
	Clarity (10)	9	Good presentation of variable in tabular form
	Interview (10)	10	Good explanation for a complex experiment
EE063	Creative Ability (30)	24	this is a good project, however hypothesis needs to be modified.
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	Interesting hypothesis to test but the experiments have to be better designed.
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Why taste and color is coorelated for source fruits and not for others?
	Skill (10)	8	Project need improvement. This is still very good idea to carry out.
	Clarity (10)	10	The things that were measured and not well explained.

	Interview (10)	5	The procedure and how things were done have to be explained better.	
EE062	Creative Ability (30)	28	Good idea to use different types of seaweed	
	Scientific Thought (30)	30	Complex set of conditions were well coordinated	
	Thoroughness (10)	9	Is most complete	
	Skill (10)	9	Requires use of many skills- well done	
	Clarity (10)	8	Needs to label photographs with type of seaweeds. Needs more than one trial. Need procedure for "clump". Needs to show photographs of inhibition.	
	Interview (10)	10	Excellent explanations/understanding of project. Articulates info. well	
EE061	Creative Ability (30)	24	Show advanced knowledge of Chemistry at young age	
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	hypothesis a little bit broad. Need to be narrow, make it more specific.	
	Thoroughness (10)	8	Procedure how things were done is not clearly stated	
	Skill (10)	8	this project indicates that students have been involved in developing many different skills, very good.	
	Clarity (10)	10	Results are very well presented, very advanced project.	
	Interview (10)	5	these two children explained very well all that was done in the project.	
EE060	Creative Ability (30)	24	Very creative work but need improve, adding a control	
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	Very well thought out hypothesis	
	Thoroughness (10)	8	the control is not specified	
	Skill (10)	8	Show knowledge of the things that went wrong, this is excellent	
	Clarity (10)	10	Presentation of results is good.	
	Interview (10)	5	Very well explained what was done in the project	
EE065	Creative Ability (30)	24	This is an interesting project, however the hypothesis needs to be modified to include more drugs that will help in understanding something.	
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	Focus this study better in order to build a better hypothesis. The original idea still is good.	
	Thoroughness (10)	8	this work can be improved by selecting different drugs.	
	Skill (10)	8	This is good introduction for children on lab work	
	Clarity (10)	10	Presentation is fine	

	Interview (10)	5	This student explained very well what was done
EE099	Creative Ability (30)	24	Fully understood the project
	Scientific Thought (30)	29	data supports conclusion
	Thoroughness (10)	8	To improve use measured angles and more angles
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	10	The data supported the conclusion
	Interview (10)	6	The project was well understood
EE093	Creative Ability (30)	27	Idea was great
	Scientific Thought (30)	23	Able to take the experiment further is great
	Thoroughness (10)	8	List of ages would have been helpful.
	Skill (10)	7	
	Clarity (10)	10	Very able to understand all research
	Interview (10)		
SB03	Creative Ability (30)	16	
	Scientific Thought (30)	17	Very good implementation of the scientific method
	Thoroughness (10)	5	
	Skill (10)	6	
	Clarity (10)	6	
	Interview (10)	7	
SB01	Creative Ability (30)	14	
	Scientific Thought (30)	12	Homeostasis is an excellent research topic in that it is affected and impacted by every system in the body.
	Thoroughness (10)	5	Lots of variables/factors that can affect oxygen and heart rate. (diet, height, weight, gender, etc.)
	Skill (10)	6	
	Clarity (10)	6	
	Interview (10)	6	
EE005	Creative Ability (30)	23	Interesting topic with real world applications
	Scientific Thought (30)	23	Scientific method followed
	Thoroughness (10)	7	
	Skill (10)	8	
	Clarity (10)	7	Results were clear
	Interview (10)	7	Very knowledgeable about topic and future use
EE001	Creative Ability (30)	25	Great creativity. Creative purpose
	Scientific Thought (30)	22	Could wind have affected speed? State dependent and independent variables. Make procedure more specific (measure 25 feet from what?)
	Thoroughness (10)	6	Any alternate approaches? Great independent work.

	Skill (10)	7	Make results more precise (cm, mm)	
	Clarity (10)	8		
	Interview (10)	10	Good job. Great enthusiasm and understanding of project. Good partners	
JB13	Creative Ability (30)	26		
	Scientific Thought (30)	26	Research what is in dog saliva versus humans.	
	Thoroughness (10)	4		
	Skill (10)	4		
	Clarity (10)	4	Measure zone of inhibition	
	Interview (10)	6		
JP04	Creative Ability (30)		Unclear importance of question. Effects of tube	
	Scientific Thought (30)		How to measure precisely? Incomplete enumeration of indept vans	
	Thoroughness (10)		they see expt. Generalizing to roller coasters.	
	Skill (10)			
	Clarity (10)		hypothesis out of order. Many unanswered questions.	
	Interview (10)		Explained Fx of friction but did not explain more weight counteracting air friction.	
JP05	Creative Ability (30)		Good question.	
	Scientific Thought (30)		Why did you think smaller and faster. (less weight)	
	Thoroughness (10)		What is dependent variable	
	Skill (10)			
	Clarity (10)		Very familiar with expt. Knew details through and through.	
	Interview (10)		Not very enthusiastic but very articulate.	
EE020	Creative Ability (30)	20		
	Scientific Thought (30)	24	Had a well-defined problem and hypothesis and answered problem nicely. Notes observations which show understanding of change in soil.	
	Thoroughness (10)	7		
	Skill (10)	6		
	Clarity (10)	8		
	Interview (10)	7	Knew what he did, able to make some connections	
EE018	Creative Ability (30)	25	Very eye-catching Maybe a little to busy	
	Scientific Thought (30)	20	Might want to consider implicatins of speech easy device on stutter recognition and correction when reading aloud.	
	Thoroughness (10)	7	Kept thorough data records and evaluated data nicely.	
	Skill (10)	7		

	Clarity (10)	7	Graphs are concise. Tables labeled well. May want to include axis labels on graph.s	
	Interview (10)	8	Was able to make connections between results and how the device works.	
EE013	Creative Ability (30)	22	The concept is quite interesting and the development of the project was creative. The presentation having pictures strengthened the project.	
	Scientific Thought (30)	20	Excellent to include the control and that each ball was dropped from the same distance. May want to include why heating the ball would increase the travel distance.	
	Thoroughness (10)	7	Evaluated scientific inquiry at different levels kept independent variables constant, with the exception of the area being tested.	
	Skill (10)	7	Demonstrates understanding of scientific method	
	Clarity (10)	7	Be sure to label graphs and charts including titles with units of measurement. Explained procedure well in a repeatable fashion.	
	Interview (10)	7	Able to explain the procedure. Didn't really make connection with science	